

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
FORWARD BY ITD DISTRICT ENGINEER	
1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1-1
1.1 What Prompted the Plan?	1-1
1.2 Existing Traffic Conditions are Complex.....	1-1
1.3 The Affects of Future Growth on US 95	1-3
1.4 Community Involvement Was Crucial to the US 95 Planning.....	1-4
1.5 Community Goals for the Future of US 95	1-5
1.6 A Wide Range of Options Were Studied.....	1-5
1.7 Community Reaction to Options	1-5
1.8 Identified Needs.....	1-6
1.9 Next Steps.....	1-8
2 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.....	2-1
2.1 Background.....	2-1
2.2 Goals and Objectives of the Public Involvement Plan	2-1
2.3 Study Participants	2-1
2.4 Approach	2-2
2.4.1 Technical Advisory Group.....	2-2
2.4.2 Community Task Force.....	2-2
2.4.3 Joint Board.....	2-3
2.4.4 Public Meetings	2-3
2.4.5 Project Newsletters and Press Releases	2-3
2.4.6 Project Website	2-3
2.4.7 Subarea Group Meetings.....	2-4
2.4.8 Agency Civic Group Presentations	2-4
2.4.9 Public Opinion Research.....	2-5
3 EXISTING TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS.....	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Background.....	3-1
3.3 Transportation Policy: Functional Classification, Mobility, and Access Management.....	3-7
3.4 Mobility	3-10
3.5 ITD Programmed Improvements.....	3-12
3.6 Existing Roadway Conditions	3-14
3.6.1 Speed Limit.....	3-14
3.6.2 Travel Lanes.....	3-14
3.6.3 Lane and ROW Widths.....	3-15
3.6.4 Shoulder Width	3-15
3.6.5 Vertical Alignment.....	3-16
3.6.6 Passing Sight Distance	3-16
3.6.7 Base Material and Pavement and Drainage	3-17
3.6.8 Pavement Conditions	3-17

Table of Contents Continued

	<u>Page</u>
3.6.9 Winter Maintenance Standards	3-18
3.6.10 Bridge Inventory	3-18
3.6.11 Traffic Control and Turning Lanes	3-19
3.7 Traffic Operations	3-19
3.7.1 Traffic Volumes	3-19
3.7.2 Level of Service Methodology.....	3-20
3.7.3 Intersection Level of Service	3-20
3.7.4 Arterial Operational Analysis	3-21
3.7.5 Growth Rates	3-23
3.7.6 Seasonal Traffic Variation	3-24
3.7.7 Daily Traffic Variation	3-24
3.7.8 Hourly Traffic Variation	3-25
3.7.9 Heavy Vehicles	3-26
3.7.10 Safety Review	3-27
3.7.11 Segment Analysis.....	3-28
3.7.12 Intersection Analysis.....	3-29
3.8 Transportation Modes.....	3-30
3.8.1 Railroad Facilities	3-30
3.8.2 Idaho State Rail Plan.....	3-31
3.8.3 Airport Facilities	3-32
3.8.4 Heliport Facilities.....	3-33
3.8.5 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	3-33
3.8.6 Transit Facilities.....	3-36
3.8.7 Origin-Destination Travel Patterns	3-37
3.8.8 US 95 Mainline	3-38
3.9 Summary.....	3-45
 4 LAND USE AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCAN.....	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 Human Elements	4-1
4.3 Natural Elements	4-7
4.4 Critical Land Use and Environmental Factors.....	4-11
4.4.1 Human Elements.....	4-11
4.4.2 Natural Elements.....	4-12
 5 FUTURE TRAVEL DEMAND AND CORRIDOR PERFORMANCE.....	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Kootenai County Transportation Planning Model.....	5-1

Table of Contents Continued

	<u>Page</u>
6 DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF FUTURE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT OPTIONS.6-1	
6.0 Introduction	6-1
6.1 Goals Development	6-1
6.1.1 Public Focus Group Meetings.....	6-2
6.2 Overview of Study Area Segments and Major Options Considered	6-3
6.2.1 Future Travel Conditions	6-3
6.2.2 US 95 Traffic Characteristics.....	6-3
6.2.3 System-wide Traffic Characteristics	6-8
6.2.4 ITD Access Control Policy	6-11
6.2.5 Study Area Segments – Analysis of Options	6-11
6.2.6 Subarea Group Meetings.....	6-11
6.3 Ohio Match/Garwood Segment.....	6-13
6.3.1 Initial Options	6-13
6.3.2 Refined Options	6-13
6.4 Coeur d'Alene / Hayden Segment.....	6-16
6.4.1 Initial Options	6-16
6.4.2 Community Input.....	6-29
6.4.3 Refined Options	6-29
6.4.4 Alternate Route Dilemma	6-35
6.5 Ironwood Segment	6-38
6.5.1 Initial Options	6-38
6.5.2 Refined Options	6-41
6.6 Spokane River Crossing Segment	6-47
6.6.1 Initial Options	6-47
6.6.2 Refined Options	6-49
6.7 Mica to Cougar Creek Segment.....	6-49
6.7.1 Initial Options	6-49
6.7.2 Refined Options	6-54
6.8 Bicycle and Pedestrian Systems	6-54
6.8.1 Initial Options	6-54
6.8.2 Refined Options	6-54
6.9 Possible Solution Packages	6-58
6.9.1 Description of Solution Packages	6-58
6.9.2 Technical Performance	6-58
6.9.3 Planning-Level Cost Estimates	6-65
6.9.4 Community Evaluation	6-62
7 KEY FINDINGS AND FINANCIAL CONSTRAINTS.....7-1	
7.1 Key Findings	7-1
7.2 Financial Constraints	7-2
7.3 Next Steps.....	7-4

Figures

	Page
2-1. Schedule.....	2-2
3-1 US 95 Coeur d'Alene Corridor Study Area	3-2
3-2. Average Annual Daily Traffic (1999) by Location on US 95	3-3
3-3. Existing Transportation Conditions	3-4
3-4 Street Functional Classification	3-9
3-5. Mobility and Access	3-11
3-6. ITD Access Control Policy	3-13
3-7. Passing Sight Distances and Elevation	3-17
3-8. Existing Traffic Conditions: July 2000 PM Peak Hour (4:30-5:30).....	3-22
3-9. Seasonal Traffic Variation	3-24
3-10. Daily Traffic Variation	3-25
3-11. Hourly Traffic Variation, Garwood Road, Weekday vs. Weekend	3-26
3-12. Hourly Traffic Variation, Haycraft Road, Weekday vs. Weekend	3-26
3-13. Traffic Volumes by Vehicle Length	3-27
3-14. US 95 O-D Survey Findings (July 2000).....	3-40
3-15. O-D Survey Findings – Traffic Profile (October 2001).....	3-41
3-16. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – Spokane River Bridge.....	3-42
3-17. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – North of Ironwood	3-43
3-18. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – North of Hayden	3-44
3-19a. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – North of SH-53	3-46
3-19b. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – North of SH-53	3-47
3-20a. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – South of Prairie.....	3-48
3-20b. US 95 Origins – Destinations (2000 PM Peak Hour) – South of Prairie.....	3-49
4-1. Study Area Existing Land Use.....	4-2
4-2. Study Area Zoning.....	4-3
4-3. Prime Farm Land	4-5
4-4. Geologic & Water Features	4-8
4-5. Land Ownership & Utility Corridors.....	4-9
5-1. Study Area Households.....	5-3
5-2. Study Area Retail Employment	5-4
5-3. Study Area Total Employment	5-5
5-4. Kootenai County Travel Model Calibration and Forecast	5-6
5-5. PM Peak Hour Traffic Conditions	5-8
6-1. US 95/Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel	6-4
6-2. US 95/Daily Vehicle Hours of Travel	6-4
6-3. US 95/Vehicle Hours of Delay	6-5
6-4. US 95/Average Delay	6-5
6-5. US 95/Average Travel Time	6-6
6-6. US 95/Average Travel Speed.....	6-6
6-7. System-Wide Vehicle Miles Traveled	6-9
6-8. System-Wide Vehicle Hours Traveled	6-9
6-9. System-Wide Vehicle Hours of Delay.....	6-10
6-10. Study Area Segments.....	6-12
6-11. Ohio Match/Garwood Segment: Initial Options	6-14
6-12. Ohio Match/Garwood Segment: Refined Options	6-15
6-13. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Initial Option - US 95 Expressway with Single Point Urban Interchanges and Frontage Roads	6-19

Figures Continued

	<u>Page</u>
6-14. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Initial Option - US 95 Expressway with On-Off Ramps and Frontage Roads.....	6-20
6-15. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Initial Option - US 95 Expressway with Interchanges at Major Intersections	6-22
6-16. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Initial Option - US 95 Expressway with Roundabouts at Major Intersections	6-23
6-17. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Initial Option US 95 Expressway with Roundabout Interchanges and Frontage Roads	6-24
6-18. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Initial Option - US 95 Median U-turns at Major Intersections	6-26
6-19. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: Huettner Road Alternate Route - Initial Option	6-28
6-20. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: US 95 Expressway Interchange – Refined Options	6-31
6-21. US 95 Expressway Option with Frontage Roads at Hanley Avenue – Plan View	6-32
6-22. US 95 Expressway Option with Frontage Roads at Hanley Avenue – Angle View	6-33
6-23. Coeur d'Alene/Hayden Segment: I-90 / US 95 Interchange Options	6-34
6-24. Ironwood Segment: Initial Options.....	6-40
6-25. Ironwood Segment: Example of Left- and U-Turn Channelization.....	6-42
6-26. Ironwood Segment: Harrison Street Connection Options.....	6-43
6-27. Ironwood Segment: US 95 Expressway with Viaduct Option.....	6-45
6-28. Ironwood Segment: Refined Options.....	6-46
6-29. Spokane River Crossing Segment: Initial Options	6-48
6-30. Spokane River Crossing Segment: Refined Options	6-51
6-31. Huettner Road Alternate Route Option & Possible Southern Extension.....	6-52
6-32. Mica Creek/Cougar Gulch Segment: Initial Options.....	6-53
6-33. Mica Creek/Cougar Gulch Segment: Refined Options	6-55
6-34a. Bicycle / Pedestrian Facility Options.....	6-56
6-34b. Bicycle / Pedestrian Facility Options – Centennial Trail Connections	6-57
6-35. US 95 Corridor – Draft Solution Packages	6-60
6-36. US 95 Travel Demand Measures – Draft Solution Packages	6-62
6-37. US 95 Traffic Congestion Measures – Draft Solution Packages	6-63
6-38. US 95 Performance Measures – Draft Solution Packages	6-64
6-39. Planning Level Cost Estimates	6-66
6-40a. Huettner Alternate Route (Option "A").....	6-67
6-40b. US 95 Expressway (Option "B")	6-68
6-40c. US 95 and Huettner Expressways (Option "C").....	6-69
7-1. Highway Distribution Account – 2001 Revenue Distribution in Kootenai County	7-4

Tables

	<u>Page</u>
3-1. ITD Access Policy – Current Classifications.....	3-12
3-2. Summary of US 95 Programmed Improvements in Study Area.....	3-12
3-3. Speed Limits	3-14
3-4. Existing Lane Geometry	3-14
3-5. Truck Lane Widths	3-15
3-6. Typical Shoulder Width.....	3-15
3-7. Vertical Alignment – Rolling and Mountainous Terrain Locations on US 95	3-16
3-8. Passing Sight Distances	3-16
3-9. Pavement Conditions	3-18
3-10. Bridge Inventory	3-19
3-11. Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections	3-20
3-12. General Level of Service Ranking.....	3-21
3-13. Existing LOS Summary for US 95 – Weekday PM Peak.....	3-23
3-14. Average Monthly Volume and Annual Growth Rate	3-24
3-15. Vehicle Classification	3-26
3-16. US 95 Cluster Summary	3-28
3-17. Accident Types at MP 419.371 to 419.621, Mica Creek Bridge	3-28
3-18. Accident Types at MP 424.690 to 425.300, Presley Rd to Cougar Creek Bridge	3-29
3-19. Accident Types at MP 424.690 to 425.300, Emma Ave to Ironwood Dr.....	3-29
3-20. US 95 Intersection Summary Based on State Ranking.....	3-30
3-21. Bicycle and Pedestrian Accidents, January 1995 – December 1999	3-35
3-22. Pedestrian Crosswalk Locations	3-35
3-23. US 95 Corridor Trail System	3-35
3-24. Vehicle License Plate Data Collection Sites.....	3-37
5-1. Kootenai County Demographics.....	5-2
5-2. US 95 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes (two-way).....	5-2
5-3. Travel Characteristics – PM Peak Hour.....	5-7
6-1 Study Access Spacing Guidelines.....	6-11

Appendices

Public Involvement <i>[Separate bound document]</i>	A
Existing Transportation Conditions	B
Land Use / Environmental Scan.....	C
Kootenai County Transportation Planning Model Report	D
Traffic Operations Analysis.....	E
Planning-Level Cost Estimates	F
Idaho Transportation Department – State Highway Access Control –Administrative Policy	G
Conceptual Engineering Analysis	H

